

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
11 SEATTLE

12 HEATHER WINSLOW BARR,) Case No.: 19 CV 00682 RSM
13 Petitioner,)
14 vs.) DECLARATION OF DAVID P. TRACY
15 JOSEPH S. PIGOTT, AKA King) IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S
16 Abdul Mumin El,) MOTION TO REMOVE/RETURN THIS
17 Respondent) MATTER TO KING COUNTY SUPERIOR
18) COURT
19)
20)
21)
22)
23)
24)
25)

18 STATE OF WASHINGTON)

19 COUNTY OF KING)

20 1. Heather Barr filed a dissolution action against her then
21 husband Joseph Stanley Pigott on August 18, 2017. The Summons and
22 Complaint was served on Joseph Stanley Pigott on August 25, 2017.
23 The trial on that dissolution was held on October 2, 2018, before
24 the Honorable Judge Barbara Linde in King County Superior Court.
25 See Court Docket, item 1, 5 and 25, attached as Exhibit A.

26 3. At that trial Plaintiff did raise the issue that the
27 court did not have jurisdiction over him due to the "Moorish
28 American Treaty of Peace and Friendship Of 1787" and the U.S.

1
2 Constitution Article. See copy of Respondent's Motion for
3 Temporary Restraining Order, Statement of Facts, page 2, which is
4 attached as Exhibit B. In The Divorce Decree, Judge Linde clearly
5 denied those issues. See copy of the Dissolution Decree, p. 10,
6 paragraph 9 and 10, attached as Exhibit C. That Divorce Decree
7 entered on October 2, 2018 was not appealed. See Court Docket,
8 item 25-21, attached as Exhibit A.

9
10 4. Judge Linde decreed that the real property located at 604
11 S. 162nd St., Burien, WA 98148 was to be awarded to Heather Barr.
12 In addition the Divorce Order provided:

13 "Respondent JOSEPH S. PIGOTT shall peacefully vacate the
14 property at 604 S. 162nd St., Burien, WA 98148 (hereto referred
15 to as Petitioner's Real Property) by Dec. 2nd, 2018 and leave it
16 and its contents (furniture, appliances) in the same undamaged
17 condition it was it in June 2017."

18 See copy of the Dissolution Decree, p. 10, paragraph 9 and 10,
19 attached as Exhibit C.

20 5. Heather Barr contacted David Tracy to assist her in
21 obtaining possession of said real property as the defendant had
22 not vacated the property when required by the Divorce Decree.

23 6. A Motion to Enforce requesting a Writ of Assistance or
24 Restitution was filed on March 22, 2019. Said Motion was served
25 on Joseph Stanley Pigott on March 25, 2019. The hearing date was
26 set on April 11, 2019, and continued to April 25, 2019.

27 7. Joseph Stanley Pigott filed a complaint in this matter
28 and attempted to obtain a Temporary Restraining Order on April

1
2 15, 2019. That Motion was denied and terms were imposed. See copy
3 of the Order is attached as Exhibit D.

4 8. Joseph Stanley Pigott filed a Notice of Removal with the
5 U.S. District Court, Western Division on May 7, 2019. See Civil
6 Docket for this matter, attached as Exhibit E. No Notice has been
7 filed with the State Court. See Court Docket of this matter
8 attached as Exhibit A. The first notice received by the attorney
9 for Heather Barr was May 28, 2019, by email from Joseph Stanley
10 Pigott.

12 Dated: 6/5/19

13 Location: Seattle, WA

14 David Tracy



King County

Superior Court Clerk's Office A

17-3-05075-3 SEA

BARR VS PIGOTT

Dissolution no Children - Completed/Re-Completed

[Summary](#)[Participants](#)[Documents List](#)[Events](#)[Judgments](#)

Documents

▼ Documents List

Sub Number	Date Filed	Document Name	Additional Information	Page #	Seal
1	08/18/2017	Summons and Petition for Dissolution	SUMMONS & PET FOR DISSOLUTION	13	
2	08/18/2017	Order Setting Case Schedule	SET CASE SCHEDULE 07-16-2018ST	9	
3	08/18/2017	Case Information Cover Sheet	CASE INFORMATION COVER SHEET	4	
4	08/18/2017	Confidential Information Form	CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION FORM	2	🔒
5	08/25/2017	Affidavit / Declaration / Certificate Of Service	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	2	
6	09/07/2017	Certificate	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION/FLO/PET	1	
7	09/13/2017	Affidavit / Declaration / Certificate Of Service	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	2	
8	09/13/2017	Lis Pendens	LIS PENDENS	1	
9	09/13/2017	Response	RESPONSE TO PET	2	
10	01/05/2018	Order on Status Conference	ORDER ON STATUS CONFERENCE/ON TRACK	4	
11	01/05/2018	Appearance Pro Se	APPEARANCE PRO SE/PET	1	
12	01/05/2018	Appearance Pro Se	APPEARANCE PRO SE/RSR	1	
13	01/09/2018	List	LIST/STATUS CONFERENCE	2	


Number	Date Filed	Document Name	Additional Information	# Seal
14	04/16/2018	Disclosure	DISCLOSURE POSSIBLE WITNESSES/PET	2
15	06/25/2018	Affidavit / Declaration / Certificate Of Service	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	2
16	06/25/2018	Pre-Trial Report	PRE-TRIAL REPORT/Joint CONFIRMATION	3
17	07/09/2018	Affidavit / Declaration / Certificate Of Service	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	2
18	07/19/2018	Notice of Hearing	NOTICE OF HEARING/MTN TO WAIVE ADR 07-31-2018	2
19	07/19/2018	Motion	MOTION /PET	3
20	07/19/2018	Declaration	DECLARATION /PET	2
21	07/19/2018	Affidavit / Declaration / Certificate Of Service	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	2
22	08/14/2018	Order Waiving	ORDER WAIVING ADR/SET TRIAL 10-01-2018ST	2
23	09/26/2018	Recusal of Judge	RECUSAL OF JUDGE BRADSHAW	1
24	10/01/2018	Order on Assignment / Reassignment	ORDER ON REASSIGNMENT	2
25	10/02/2018	Non-Jury Trial	NON-JURY TRIAL	2
26	10/02/2018	Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law	FINDINGS OF FACT&CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	10
27	10/02/2018	Decree of Dissolution	DECREE OF DISSOLUTION	10
28	10/02/2018	Affidavit / Declaration / Certificate Of Service	AFFIDAVIT/DCLR/CERT OF SERVICE	2
29	10/02/2018	Stipulation and Order for Return of Exhibits and / or Unopened Depositions	STIP&OR RET EXHBTS UNOPNED DEPOSTNS	2
30	10/26/2018	Exhibit List	EXHIBIT LIST /TRIAL	3
31	03/22/2019	Notice of Limited Appearance	/D P TRACY	1
32	03/22/2019	Note for Motion Docket	ENFORCE/ISSUE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE	2
33	03/22/2019	Motion	TO ENFORCE RE ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF RESTITUTION OR ASSISTANCE /PET	4
34	03/22/2019	Declaration	HEATHER W. BARR	12
35	04/05/2019	Affidavit / Declaration / Certificate Of Service		2

Number	Date Filed	Document Name	Additional Information	# Seal
36	04/05/2019	Declaration of Mailing		1
37	04/11/2019	Minutes		1
38	04/11/2019	Order of Continuance		2
39	04/23/2019	Declaration		11
40	04/23/2019	Memorandum		2
41	04/23/2019	Declaration of Mailing		1
42	04/23/2019	Reply		3
43	04/25/2019	Order Denying Motion / Petition	RE WRIT OF ASSISTANCE/RESTITUTION	1
44	04/25/2019	Minutes		1
45	05/02/2019	Note for Motion Docket - Late Filing		2
46	05/02/2019	Motion for Reconsideration	/RESPONDENT	10
47	05/02/2019	Declaration of Mailing		1
48	05/10/2019	Certificate of Mailing		1
49	05/10/2019	Order on Motion for Reconsideration	DENIED	2
50	05/16/2019	Motion for Revision	RE COMMISSIONER'S ORDER	2
51	05/21/2019	Notice of Hearing	REVISION	2

[Go Back](#)

Copyright © Journal Technologies, USA. All rights reserved.

B



IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR KING COUNTY

STATE OF WASHINGTON

*Joseph Stanley Pigott
a.k.a. King Abdul Muimin
El,*

No. _____

Plaintiff

v.

PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR TEMPORARY
RESTRANING ORDER

*Heather Barr, LAW
OFFICES OF DAVID
TRACY, David P. Tracy,
Judge Barbara Linde, et
al.,*

Defendant

I. RELIEF REQUESTED

Plaintiff Joseph Stanley Pigott, a.k.a. King Abdul Muimin El moves the court for a temporary restraining order to prevent the Defendant Heather Barr's Final Divorce Order enforcement signed on 10/2/2018 and stop Judge Barbara Linde from working as a Superior Court Judge, in violation of RCW 19.86.020 & 36.27.020 (10) & 1728-Protection Of Government Processes-- Obstruction Of Federal

Criminal Investigation—18 U.S.C. 1510. Also, prevent Judge Barbara Linde & attorney David P. Tracy from doing business, in WASHINGTON STATE CORPORATION, IN VIOLATION of The Moors American Treaty Of Peace & Friendship of 1787 & the U.S. Constitution of 1789 Contract between the Moors & the Europeans Contract agreement.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Plaintiff, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, is an heir to the Moroccan Empire that is known as America & South America and the Seven Seas and all lands that are outside of the Americas. Defendant Judge Barbara Linde works for the STATE OF WASHINGTON CORPORATION as a Public Servant to protect the Supreme Law of the land, but judge Barbara Lind is not a Public Official and is not bonded, and when I asked for her to produce her bond, she refused to produce her Official Bond.

That Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, demanded that Judge Barbara Linde to recuse herself, because she is being investigated, per my ongoing Federal Criminal Investigation, and she refused to recuse herself.

That Judge Barbara Linde was told that she was in my court and showed her my Moroccan Flag which is the National Flag and that I can only use is the Moroccan Court and Laws, in order to have a trial, for the divorce proceedings, and she refused to honor my Moroccan Court and Supreme Law of the land Courts Order.

That Moroccan law is International law and this Court is not and does not use International law and the Supreme Law of the land to make Court decisions.

That she was told that she didn't have Jurisdiction over the Natural Man and that a Jurisdiction determination is required, but she refused to have a Jurisdiction testing.

That Judge Barbara Linde injured Plaintiff Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, by signing an order, on 10/2/2018 to deprive him of the right to protect my land and any property in which a LIS PENDENS supported my ownership of the land located at 604 So. 162nd St. Burien, Washington 98148 and the Moroccan Empire, at page 10 of her Court Order.

That Judge Barbara Linde refused to hear my defense and allow, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, the right to a fair and just trial, and signed the Final Divorce Order over, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, objections.

That Judge Barbara Linde is a Private Person acting as a judge and is a fake judge, per my Federal Criminal Investigation.

That, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, was not able to stop Judge Barbara Linde from denying my U.S. Constitutional 4th Amendment rights to stay in my home and ordered me to leave my home, by 12/2/2018, in which it would make, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, homeless.

On 3/25/2019, I was served a with a case #17-3-05075-3 SEA, a FAMILI D. NOTICE OF APPEARANCE, FAMILY LAW NOTICE OF COURT DATE, MOTION TO ENFORCE; ISSUANCE OF WRIT OF RESTITUTION OR ASSISTANCE, signed by David P. Tracy, DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO ISSUE A WRIT OF ASSISTANCE, signed by Heather Barr. Copy of the Final Divorce Order, ORDER FOR WRIT OF ASSISTANCE OR RESTITUTION (PROPOSED), to be heard, on April 11, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., by the KING COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT.

That, attorney David P. Tracy of LAW OFFICES OF DAVID TRACY, is moving the Court, in Bad Faith and has no Delegation Of Authority to use this Court, against a Moor, in order to take, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, Moorish American Treaty rights away.

That, David P. Tracy, is using bogus case law and Civil Rules to usurp, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a King Abdul Mumin El, Moorish American Treaty rights away to deprive, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, of his land.

That, David P. Tracy, is totally devoid of merit and lack standing and is arbitrary, in his actions and is using this court, for illegal purposes, to take, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El's, property.

That the Final Divorce Order is Fraudulently acquired and is VOID ON ITS FACE and lacks an force and effect.

That, David P. Tracy, can't represent anybody, unless they are incompetent to aid themselves, and Heather Barr is incompetent and is a ward of the state, with no standing to sue.

That, David P. Tracy, has taken advantage of Heather Barr and took her money, for his own personal gain to make a living.

Any and all tax collectors, police officers, sheriffs dept's, DOT, tag agencies, BAR attorneys, Judge, Highway Patrol, supposed elected officials, are nothing more than private contractors, who can now be brought up on fraud charges for impersonating a public official while receiving federal funding.

III. STATEMENT OF THE ISSUE

The following issues are presented for resolution by the court: *Whether Judge Barbara Linde has an Official Bond, as required, to be a Public Servant?*

Did the Court have Jurisdiction over the Natural Man, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, to sign a Final Divorce Order?

Is attorney, David P. Tracy, using the Superior Court, in BAD FAITH, to take advantage of, Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El?

Is the Moorish American Treaty Of Peace & Friendship Of 1787 & The U. S. Constitution of 1789 the Supreme Law Of the Land, in which Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, should have been tried with?

Did the Court establish a hearing for Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El, to test to see if the Court had Jurisdiction over the Natural Man?

Did Judge Barbara Linde Obstruct Justice, when she was asked not to try this case, because of an ongoing Federal Criminal Investigation?

Is the Final divorce order VOID ON ITS FACE?

Is Judge Barbara Linde Bonded, as required by law?

Is attorney David P. Tracy using this court, in BAD FAITH, to injure Joseph Stanley Pigott a.k.a. King Abdul Mumin El?

Whether the court should enter a Temporary Restraining Order that prevents the Defendants, David P. Tracy & Judge Barbara Linde, from doing business, with the WASHINGTON STATE CORPORATION?

IV. EVIDENCE RELIED UPON

This motion is based on the attached declaration of King Abdul Mumin El, and Moorish American Treaty Of Peace & Friendship of 1787 & the Final Court Order supports this motion.

V. LEGAL AUTHORITY

This motion is made pursuant to [specify, e.g., CR 65(b) and RCW 7.40.020], which provide in pertinent part:

RCW 7.40.020

Injunction bond.

No injunction or restraining order shall be granted until the party asking it shall enter into a bond, in such a sum as shall be fixed by the court or judge granting the order, with surety to the satisfaction of the clerk of the superior court, to the adverse party affected thereby, conditioned to pay all damages and costs which may accrue by reason of the injunction or restraining order. The sureties shall, if required by the clerk, justify as provided by law, and until they so justify, the clerk shall be responsible for their sufficiency. The court shall sound.

discretion may waive the required bond in situations in which a person's health or life would be jeopardized.

[§ 5. 1957 c. Code 1881 § 159; 1877 c. RRS § 725.]

NOTES:

Rules of court: Cf. CR 65(c).

Corporate surety—insurance: Chapter 47. RCW.

Plaintiff has a clear right to temporary restraining order because the Defendants agreed to the Moorish American Treaty Of Peace & Friendship Of 1787 and the U.S. Constitution Of 1789 to do Commerce and be at peace with the Moors. Plaintiff has a well grounded fear of invasion of that right because Defendants, Barbara Linde and David P. Tracy, has accepted employment under Oath of this contract and that the Supreme Law of the Land to do their jobs in Good Behavior and the defendants conduct will result will result in actual and irreparable injury to the Plaintiff life and property, according to Article VI of the U.S. Constitution, and it is in the public interest to enforce this Treaty to protect the Moors Empire, in the interest of our business and that all judges in every State are bound thereby, and any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the Contrary notwithstanding.

Article VI of the U.S. Constitution says

All Debts contracted and Engagements entered into, before the Adoption of this Constitution, shall be as valid against the United States under this Constitution, as under the Confederation; This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be made in Pursuance thereof, and all Treaties made, or which shall be made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme Law of the Land, and the Judges in all the States, shall be bound thereby, any Thing in the Constitution or Laws of any State to the contrary notwithstanding.

The Senators and Representatives before mentioned, and the other Officers of the several State Legislatures, and all executive and judicial Officers, both of the United States and of the several States, shall be bound by Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution, but no religious Test shall ever be required as a Qualification to any Office or public Trust under the United States.

VI. PROPOSED ORDER

A proposed order granting the relief requested accompanies this motion.

Dated: 4/04/2019

(Signed) Josephine Figaro a.k.a. King Abdur Mu'min

Superior Court of Washington, County of KING

In re the marriage of:

Petitioner (person who started this case):

Heather W. Barr

And Respondent (other spouse):

Joseph S. Pigott

(aka King Abdul Mumin EI)

No. 17-3-65075-3 SEA

Final Divorce Order (Dissolution Decree) (DCD)
 Final Legal Separation Order (Decree) (DCLGSP)
 Invalid Marriage Order (Annulment Decree) (DCINMG)
 Valid Marriage Order (Decree) (DCVMO)
 Clerk's action required: 1, 2, 6, 13, 14, 16

Final Divorce Order Legal Separation Order

Invalid Marriage Order Valid Marriage Order

1. Money Judgment Summary

No money judgment is ordered.

Summarize any money judgments from sections 6 or 14 in the table below.

Judgment for	Debtor's name (person who must pay money)	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest
Money Judgment (section 6)			\$	\$
Fees and Costs (section 14)			\$	\$
Other amounts (describe):			\$	\$
Yearly Interest Rate: <u>% (12% unless otherwise listed)</u>				
Lawyer (name):	represents (name):			
Lawyer (name):	represents (name):			

2. Summary of Real Property Judgment (land or home)

No real property judgment is ordered

Summarize any real property judgment from section 7 in the table below.

Grantor's name (person giving property)	Grantee's name (person getting property)	Real Property (fill in at least one)	
		Assessor's property tax parcel or account number:	Legal description of property awarded (lot/block/plat/section, township, range, county, state)
Lawyer (name):		represents (name):	
Lawyer (name):		represents (name):	

➤ **The court has made Findings and Conclusions in this case and now Orders:**

3. Marriage

- This marriage is dissolved. The Petitioner and Respondent are divorced.
- The Petitioner and Respondent are granted a Legal Separation.
- This marriage is invalid (annulled).
- This marriage is valid (not annulled).

4. Name Changes

- Neither spouse asked to change his/her name.
- The Petitioner's name is changed to (new name):

<i>first</i>	<i>middle</i>	<i>last</i>
<input type="checkbox"/> The Respondent's name is changed to (new name):		

<i>first</i>	<i>middle</i>	<i>last</i>
--------------	---------------	-------------

5. Separation Contract

- There is no enforceable separation contract.
- The spouses must comply with the terms of the separation contract signed on (date): _____ This contract is (check one):
 - attached as an Exhibit and made part of this Order.
 - not filed with the court and is incorporated by reference. (RCW 26.09.070(5))
 - filed with the court as a separate document and is incorporated by reference.

6. Money Judgment (summarized in section 7 above)

None.

The (check one): Petitioner Respondent must pay the other party (amount) \$ _____ . The court grants a judgment for this amount.

The interest rate is 12% unless another amount is listed below.

The interest rate is _____ % because (explain): _____

Other: _____

7. Real Property (land or home) (summarized in section 2 above)

Neither spouse owns any real property.

The real property is divided according to the separation contract described in 5 above.

The real property is divided as listed in Exhibit _____. This Exhibit is attached and made part of this Order.

The real property is divided as explained below:

Real Property Address	Tax Parcel Number	Given to which spouse as his/her separate property?
604 S. 162nd St Burien, WA 98148	725 000 -00 85 00	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Petitioner <input type="checkbox"/> Respondent
		<input type="checkbox"/> Petitioner <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Respondent
		<input type="checkbox"/> Petitioner <input type="checkbox"/> Respondent

The spouse giving up ownership must sign a Quit Claim Deed and Real Estate Excise Tax Affidavit to transfer the real property to the other spouse by (date): _____

The court does not have jurisdiction to divide real property.

Other (specify): _____

8. Petitioner's Personal Property (possessions, assets or business interests of any kind)

The personal property that Petitioner now has or controls is given to Petitioner as his/her separate property. No transfer of property between Petitioner and Respondent is required.

The personal property listed as Petitioner's in the separation contract described in 5 above is given to Petitioner as his/her separate property.

The personal property listed in Exhibit ____ is given to Petitioner as his/her separate property. This Exhibit is attached and made part of this Order.

The personal property listed below is given to Petitioner as his/her separate property. (Include vehicles, pensions/retirement, insurance, bank accounts, furniture, businesses, etc. Do not list more than the last four digits of any account number. For vehicles, list year, make, model and VIN or license plate number.)

1. 2015 Toyota Camry	5. personal papers
2. 4 oil paintings by R. Herlit	6. personal clothing
3. Chicken Coop Kit	7. household furniture
4. gas pressure washer	8. household appliances

The court does not have jurisdiction to divide personal property.

Other (specify): _____

9. Respondent's Personal Property (possessions, assets or business interests of any kind)

The personal property that Respondent now has or controls is given to Respondent as his/her separate property. No transfer of property between Petitioner and Respondent is required.

The personal property listed as Respondent's in the separation contract described in 5 above is given to Respondent as his/her separate property.

The personal property listed in Exhibit ____ is given to Respondent as his/her separate property. This Exhibit is attached and made part of this Order.

The personal property listed below is given to Respondent as his/her separate property. (Include vehicles, pensions/retirement, insurance, bank accounts, furniture, businesses, etc. Do not list more than the last four digits of any account number. For vehicles, list year, make, model and VIN or license plate number.)

1. all musical instruments	5. all tools in garage at 644 S. 162 St Bainbridge
2. all music equipment	6.
3. Vehicles in his name	7.
4. bank accounts in his name	8.

The court does not have jurisdiction to divide personal property.

Other (specify): _____

10. Petitioner's Debt

The Petitioner must pay all debts s/he has incurred (made) since the date of separation, unless the court makes a different order about a specific debt below. (Check one):

The Petitioner has no debt.

The Petitioner must pay the debts that are now in his/her name.

The Petitioner must pay debts as required by the separation contract described in 5 above.

The Petitioner must pay the debts listed in Exhibit _____. This Exhibit is attached and made part of this Order.

The Petitioner must pay all debts listed below:

Debt Amount	Creditor (person or company owed this debt)	account number (last 4 digits only)
\$		
\$		
\$		
\$		

The court does not have jurisdiction to divide debts.

Other (specify): _____

11. Respondent's Debt

The Respondent must pay all debts s/he has incurred (taken on) since the date of separation, unless the court makes a different order about a specific debt below. (Check one):

The Respondent has no debt.

The Respondent must pay the debts that are now in his/her name.

The Respondent must pay debts as required by the separation contract described in 5 above.

The Respondent must pay the debts listed in Exhibit _____. This Exhibit is attached and made part of this Order.

The Respondent must pay all debts listed below:

Debt Amount	Creditor (person or company owed this debt)	account number (last 4 digits only)
\$		
\$		
\$		
\$		

The court does not have jurisdiction to divide debts.

Other (specify): _____

12. Debt Collection (hold harmless)

Does not apply.

If one spouse fails to pay a debt as ordered above and the creditor tries to collect the debt from the other spouse, the spouse who was ordered to pay the debt must hold the other spouse harmless from any collection action about the debt. This includes

reimbursing the other spouse for any of the debt he/she paid and for attorney fees or costs related to defending against the collection action.

Other (specify): _____

13. Spousal Support (maintenance/alimony)

No spousal support is ordered.

Spousal support must be paid as required by the separation contract described in 5 above. Spousal support will end when either spouse dies, or the spouse receiving support gets married or registers a new domestic partnership, *unless* the separation contract provides differently.

Spousal support must be paid as described in Exhibit _____. This Exhibit is attached and made part of this Order. Spousal support will end when either spouse dies, or the spouse receiving support gets married or registers a new domestic partnership, *unless* the Exhibit provides differently.

The (check one): Petitioner Respondent must pay spousal support as follows:

Amount:	Start date:	Payment schedule:
\$ _____ each month	Date 1 st payment is due	Day(s) of the month each payment is due (for example, "the 5 th ," "weekly," or "half on the 1 st and half on the 15 th ")

Termination: Spousal support will end when either spouse dies, or the spouse receiving support gets married or registers a new domestic partnership *unless* a different date or event is provided below:

Date: _____

Other (specify): _____

Make all payments to (check one):

the other spouse directly by (check one):

mail to: _____

Street address or PO box	City	State	Zip
--------------------------	------	-------	-----

direct deposit/transfer to a bank account identified by the receiving party.

The receiving party must notify the paying party of any address or account change.

the Washington State Support Registry. The Registry will forward the support to the other spouse (*only if child support is also ordered*). (If you check this box, also check the "Clerk's action required" box in the caption on page 1.) To the Clerk: forward a copy of this order to WSSR.

the court clerk, who will forward the support to the other spouse (*only if there is no child support order*). (If you check this box, also check the "Clerk's action required" box in the caption on page 1.)

Other (specify): _____

The spouse paying support has public (state) retirement benefits. (RCW 26.09.138)

The spouse owed support may ask, without giving notice, for the other spouse's retirement benefits to be assigned to him/her if:

- \$100 or more in spousal support is more than 15 days late, or

- The other spouse asks to take money out of his/her public retirement account. (RCW 41.50)
- The Department of Retirement Systems may pay all or part of a withdrawal from a retirement account directly to the spouse owed support. (RCW 41.50.550(3))
- Other (specify): _____

14. Fees and Costs (*Summarize any money judgment in section 1 above.*)

- Each spouse will pay his/her own fees and costs.
- Fees and costs must be paid as required by the separation contract described in 5 above.
- The court orders a money judgment for fees and costs as follows:

Judgment for	Debtor's name (person who must pay money)	Creditor's name (person who must be paid)	Amount	Interest
<input type="checkbox"/> lawyer fees			\$	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> guardian ad litem (GAL) fees			\$	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> court costs			\$	\$
<input type="checkbox"/> other fees and expenses (specify):			\$	\$

The interest rate is 12% unless another amount is listed below.

- The interest rate is _____ % because (explain): _____
- Other: _____

15. Protection Order

- No one requested an *Order for Protection*.
- Approved – The request for an *Order for Protection* is approved. The *Order for Protection* is filed separately.
- Denied – The request for an *Order for Protection* is denied. The *Denial Order* is filed separately.
- Renewed/Changed – The existing *Order for Protection* filed in or combined with this case is renewed or changed as described in following order, filed separately (check one):
 - Order on Renewal of Order for Protection*
 - Order Modifying/Terminating Order for Protection*
- Other: _____

16. Restraining Order

No one requested a *Restraining Order*.

Approved – The request for a *Restraining Order* is approved. The *Restraining Order* is filed separately.

Denied – The request for a *Restraining Order* is denied.

Check this box if the court previously signed a temporary *Restraining Order* and is not signing a final *Restraining Order* in this case. Also check the "Clerk's action required" box in the caption on page 1.

Name of law enforcement agency where the Protected Person lived when the *Restraining Order* was issued: _____

To the Clerk: Provide a copy of this Order to the agency listed above within one court day. The law enforcement agency must remove the temporary *Restraining Order* from the state's database.

17. Children of the marriage

The spouses have no children together who are still dependent.

This court has jurisdiction over the children the spouses have together as explained in the *Findings and Conclusions* for this case.

If there are children of both spouses listed in the *Findings and Conclusions* who do not have both spouses listed on their birth certificates, the State Registrar of Vital Statistics is ordered to amend the children's birth certificates to list both spouses as parents upon receipt of a certified copy of this order and the *Findings and Conclusions*.

Note – The court does not forward this order to Vital Statistics. To amend the birth certificate, a party must provide a certified copy of this order and the *Findings and Conclusions* and pay a filing fee to the State Registrar of Vital Statistics (360-236-4347). You may order a copy of the amended birth certificate for an additional fee.

This court does not have jurisdiction over the children as explained in the *Findings and Conclusions* for this case.

18. Parenting Plan

Does not apply. The spouses have no dependent children together, or the court does not have jurisdiction over the children.

The court signed the final *Parenting Plan* filed separately today or on (date): _____.

The guardian ad litem (GAL) is discharged.

19. Child Support

Does not apply. The spouses have no dependent children together, or the court does not have jurisdiction over child support.

Court Order – The court signed the final *Child Support Order* and *Worksheets* filed separately today or on (date): _____. Tax exemptions and post-secondary (college or vocational school) support are covered in the *Child Support Order*.

Administrative Order – The court is **not** issuing a child support order. There is an administrative child support order established by DSHS Division of Child Support (DCS) for the dependent children of this marriage.
 DCS child support orders do not cover tax exemptions or post-secondary (college or vocational school) support. Therefore, the court orders:

Tax Exemptions – The parties have the right to claim the children as their dependents on their tax forms as follows (describe):

For tax years when a non-custodial parent has the right to claim the children, the parents must cooperate to fill out and submit IRS Form 8332 in a timely manner.

Warning! Under federal law, the parent who claims a child as a dependent may owe a tax penalty if the child is not covered by health insurance.

Post-secondary (college or vocational school) – The court orders:

- A parent may ask the court for post-secondary support at a later date, but he/she must file that request before the duty to pay child support ends.
- The parents must pay for the children's post-secondary support. The parents will make a post-secondary support plan or the court will order one.
- Post-secondary support is **not** required.
- Other (specify): _____

20. Other Orders (if any):

*See additional orders, attached as
Page 10 &
incorporated herein*

Ordered.

10-2-18
Date

Barbara Lude
Judge or Commissioner

Petitioner and Respondent or their lawyers fill out below.

This document (check any that apply):

- is an agreement of the parties
- is presented by me
- may be signed by the court without notice to me

This document (check any that apply):

- is an agreement of the parties
- is presented by me
- may be signed by the court without notice to me

Heather W Barr
Petitioner signs here or lawyer signs here + WSBA #

Heather W Barr
Print Name

10/2/2018
Date

Respondent was
Respondent signs here or lawyer signs here + WSBA #

Present but declined to sign BZ
Print Name

Date

Petitioner: Heather W. Barr

Case No: 17-3-05075-3 SEA

Respondent: Joseph S. Pigott

It is further ordered that

Petitioner Heather W. Barr asks that the court order:

1. Respondent Joseph S. Pigott ^{shall} peacefully vacate the property at 604 S 162nd Street, Burien, WA (hereto referred to as Petitioner's Real Property) by Dec , 2nd 2018 and leave it and its contents (furniture, appliances) in the same undamaged condition it was in in June 2017.
2. By Dec , 2nd 2018, Respondent ^{shall} remove from the Petitioner's Real Property, including house, garage, shed and outdoor property, all his belongings, and any personal property/effects belonging to any of his family members, his friends or associates, including anything belonging to any other party.
3. Any other people living at Petitioner's real property at 604 S 162nd Street will vacate the property by Dec 2nd 2018
4. Respondent ^{shall} leave any property belonging to Pet. or her daughter that is at the Petitioner's Real Property in the house, garage, or outdoor property intact and undamaged.
5. Respondent ^{shall} not prohibit, prevent, impede or make difficult in any way access by Petitioner, any individual or agent working at behest or on behalf of Petitioner to the Petitioner's Real Property including the house, garage, shed or outdoor areas at any time between the date of the divorce orders and Dec 2nd 2018.
6. Respondent ^{shall} continue to behave in a respectful and reasonable manner, not threaten or harass the Petitioner or any of her associates in any manner.
7. Respondent ^{shall} cease and desist from filing any court papers regarding the petitioner's real property, and will withdraw the Lis Pendens he filed on 9/9/2017
8. The Lis Pendens Respondent filed is hereby dismissed.
9. Respondent's oral motions asking this Court to recuse and to produce proof of a bond are denied.
10. Respondent's oral challenges to this Court's authority and jurisdiction to act in this proceeding are overruled as not timely and not supported in law or fact.

FILED

2019 APR 15 PM 12:10

KING COUNTY
CIRCUIT COURT CLERK
SEATTLE, WA

**CERTIFIED
COPY**

EXPORT

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR KING COUNTY WASHINGTON

10 Joseph Stanley Pigott aka King) Case No.: 19-1-10040-1 SED
11 Abdul Mumin El,) ORDER DENYING TEMPORARY
12 Petitioner,) RESTRAINING ORDER AND IMPOSING
13 vs.) TERMS
14 Heather W. Barr, Law Offices of)
David P. Tracy, David P. Tracy,)
Judge Barbara Linde, et. al.,)
15 Respondent

JUDGMENT

Per RCW 4.64.030

20 1. Creditor: David P. Tracy
21 2. Debtor: Joseph Stanley Pigott aka King
Abudul Mumin El
22 3. Judgment amount: (Terms) \$
23 4. Creditor's costs:
Filing fee \$
Service fees \$
Sheriff's fee \$
writ fee \$ 32.16
parking \$
25 5. Attorney's Fees \$ 1550.00
26 6. Total: \$ 1582.16

**ORDER DENYING MOTION
IMPOSING TERMS -1**

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID P. TRACY
108 WELLS AVENUE SOUTH
RENTON, WA 98057
(425) 277-0977

1
2
3 7. Attorney for Judgment Creditor:
4 DAVID P. TRACY
5 Attorney at Law
6 108 Wells Avenue South
7 Renton, WA 98055

8
9
10
11
12 THIS MATTER having come on regularly for hearing this
13 date and the plaintiff(s) appearing and defendant David P.
14 Tracy appearing, objecting that this appearance is just for
15 this hearing and not waiving any objections to sufficiency of
16 process and no other defendant(s) appearing, and the Court
17 being fully advised and having considered the Motion and
18 Declarations, now, find as follows:

19
20
21
22
23
24 Now, therefore, it is hereby;

25
26 ORDERED; ADJUDGED, and DECREED as follows:

27
28 1. Petitioner's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is
denied.
2. That Respondent David P. Tracy be awarded attorney
fees and costs for responding to this Motion in the amount of
\$1,582.18.

29 DONE IN OPEN COURT THIS _____ day of 4/15/19,
30 _____.

31
32 _____
33 JUDGE/COURT COMMISSIONER

34
35 HENRY H. JUDSON

36
37 APR 15 2019

38
39 ORDER DENYING MOTION
40 IMPOSING TERMS -2

41
42 COURT COMMISSIONER

43
44 LAW OFFICES OF DAVID P. TRACY
45 108 WELLS AVENUE SOUTH
46 RENTON, WA 98057
47 (425) 277-0977

U.S. District Court
United States District Court for the Western District of Washington (Seattle)
CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 2:19-cv-00682-RSM

Barr v. Pigott

Assigned to: Judge Ricardo S. Martinez

Case in other court: King County Superior Court, 17-00003-
05075-3 SEA

Cause: 28:1446 Notice of Removal

Date Filed: 05/07/2019

Jury Demand: Defendant

Nature of Suit: 190 Contract: Other
Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Petitioner

Heather Winslow Barr

represented by **Heather Winslow Barr**
1904 POST ALLEY
SEATTLE, WA 98101
PRO SE

V.

Respondent

Joseph Stanley Pigott

also known as

King Abdul Mumin El

represented by **Joseph Stanley Pigott**
E-filing/Service
604 S 162ND STREET
BURIEN, WA 98148
206-566-1640
Email: privateagpigott80@gmail.com
PRO SE

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
05/07/2019	<u>1</u>	NOTICE OF REMOVAL from King County Superior Court, filed by Joseph S Pigott. Case number 17-3-05075-3 SEA. (JFP Pending) (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Complaint (Petition for Divorce), # <u>2</u> Civil Cover Sheet)(ST) (Entered: 05/10/2019)
05/07/2019	<u>2</u>	MOTION for Leave to Proceed In Forma Pauperis, filed by Joseph S Pigott.(ST) (Entered: 05/10/2019)
05/07/2019	<u>3</u>	LETTER from Clerk to counsel re receipt of case from King County Superior Court and advising of WAWD case number and judge assignment. (cc: petitioner and respondent via USPS) (ST) (Entered: 05/10/2019)
05/15/2019	<u>5</u>	ORDER granting <u>2</u> Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis. Signed by Hon. Michelle L. Peterson.(MW)(cc: Petitioner and Respondent via USPS (Entered: 05/15/2019)
05/15/2019	<u>6</u>	ORDER REGARDING INITIAL DISCLOSURES AND JOINT STATUS REPORT Joint Status Report due by 6/26/2019, FRCP 26f Conference Deadline is 6/12/2019, Initial Disclosure Deadline is 6/19/2019, by Judge Ricardo S. Martinez. cc:parties via USPS (LW) (Entered: 05/15/2019)
05/16/2019	<u>7</u>	Mail addressed to Heather Winslow Barr returned as Undeliverable re <u>3</u> Judge Assignment

6/3/2019

WAWD CM/ECF Version 6.2

		Letter (Removal & Transfer cases only) (TH) (Entered: 05/16/2019)
05/20/2019	<u>8</u>	NOTICE: Respondent Joseph Stanley Pigott has registered to electronically file and receive electronic service in this case. (PS) (Entered: 05/20/2019)
05/23/2019	<u>9</u>	DEMAND for JURY TRIAL by Respondent Joseph Stanley Pigott (Pigott, Joseph) (Entered: 05/23/2019)
05/23/2019	<u>10</u>	SUPPLEMENT NOTICE OF REMOVAL by Respondent Joseph Stanley Pigott (Pigott, Joseph) (Entered: 05/23/2019)
05/23/2019	<u>11</u>	SEALED SUPPLEMENT NOTICE OF REMOVAL by Respondent Joseph Stanley Pigott (Attachments: # <u>1</u> Supplement)(Pigott, Joseph) Modified on 5/24/2019 to seal main document only due to sensitive personal identifiers. (PM). (Entered: 05/24/2019)
05/23/2019	<u>13</u>	Mail addressed to Heather Winslow Barr returned as Undeliverable re <u>5</u> Order on Motion for Leave to Proceed in forma pauperis (MW) (Entered: 05/24/2019)
05/24/2019	<u>12</u>	SUPPLEMENT PLAINTIFF'S ADDRESS by Respondent Joseph Stanley Pigott (Pigott, Joseph) (Entered: 05/24/2019)
05/24/2019		NOTICE TO FILER: re <u>11</u> Supplement (main document only). Document contains personal identifiers (Dates of birth, page 30) that are required to be redacted per Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5.2 and Local Civil Rule 5.2, and has been administratively sealed. Please file a <u>redacted</u> version of the document as soon as practicable. This will not affect your original filing date. (PM) (Entered: 05/24/2019)
05/28/2019	<u>14</u>	Mail addressed to Heather Winslow Barr returned as Undeliverable re <u>6</u> Joint Status Report Order Form for District Judges (TH) (Entered: 05/29/2019)
05/29/2019	<u>15</u>	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE. The Court ORDERS that Respondent, no later than fourteen (14) days from the date of this Order, shall SHOW CAUSE (1) why the Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this matter, (2) why removal was properly accomplished in accordance with the applicable federal statutes, and (3) why this action should not be remanded for a lack of jurisdiction. Signed by Judge Ricardo S. Martinez. (SWT) (cc: Petitioner via USPS) (Entered: 05/29/2019)

PACER Service Center			
Transaction Receipt			
06/03/2019 17:42:29			
PACER Login:	dclawgroup206:3636437:0	Client Code:	
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	2:19-cv-00682-RSM
Billable Pages:	2	Cost:	0.20